GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 13

Part B for June 16.

SUBJECT.

Restoration of Inam S.No. 273/1 Kadwaine village in the Nanjangud Taluk to Gauri Amma of Mysore

To Dy. Commr. Mysore No. 2855-57 13-6-16

Forwards copy of G.O. requesting the case to him with a view to his directing the Sub Dvnl. Officer concerned to take such additional evidence as may be offered by the parties & submitting the same &c. with his opinion

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 261-1

FILE HEADING.

Restoration of inam S. No. 273 of Hadinaru village in the Nanjangud Taluk to Sachi Matt at Mysore.

From

Sidar Putta Basavaraj urs, Mysore, through his Advocate

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 9. 12. 1914 Received 11. 12. 1914

Diary No. 946	Enclos. 3 Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Mysore Dy. Commrs. order directing the resumption of the above land from the mutt and its restoration to the Sachi Matt at Mysore.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sl. 2. From, Sidar Putta Basava Raj urs,

Petition Dy 10. 12. 1914.

Prays for an ad-interim order directing the stay of the execution of the Dy. Commrs order pending the disposal of his appeal

Serial No. 3. From, Linigayya and others of Hadina (thro. their Advocate), Petition dy 7.12.14

Appeal against the dy Commr order directing the resumption of Inam lands in Hadinaru endowed to Sri Matt.

4. From, the same,
Petition dy 7.12.14.

Pray for an ad. interim order directing the stay of the execution of the dy. Commrs order appealed against.

The appeal petitions are duly stamped and are within time. They to be admitted.

pp. 3 & 4

The orders of the dy. Commr dated 16th September 1914 appealed against, are flagged.

On a perusal of the records in the case, the dy Commr Concurring in the views of the Sub Divl Officer has directed that the lands being Inam to Sachi Matt, they may be resumed from the aliences who are merely tenants at will and restored to the Matt.

Against this order, Sridar Putta Basa Raja Urs, Linigayya and others of Hadina have appealed to Govt. The Govt

Serial No.

for appeal are summarized in the Review-petitions. If directed, a report with records will be called for from the Dy. Commr.-

The apltS. also say that the crops of the value of thousands of Rupees are now standing ready to be cut and harvested, that if the Dy. Commrs. order is carried into effect, they will suffer considerable loss - they pray that pending disposal of their appeal, the Dy. Commrs. order may be held in abeyance.

Submd. for orders

M(HS)
14-12-14.

14.12.14

266

Submd for Hs. orders

A report and the records in the case may be called for.

The case appears to be a fit one where stay of execution may be ordered. The Matha has been using forests for the last 66 years and the present situation has been created by a previous head of the Matha by whom the Securities may be taken from the appellant binding them to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 261 of 1914.

Serial No.

the land lord's share with the copy of the Matter of the pending appeal received address of the

he

21.12.14

I agree. The execution may be stayed.

21/12/14

Draft. by

22.12.

Submitted with D/S

MM 23/12/14

Draft by

23.12.

5 to be regd. - No 1349 dt 23.12.14 Dr. for refusal - letters of S/1-2-3-dt, dtd 19.12.14 that the order appealed against may be stayed pending the disposal of the appeal, the applicants being allowed to secure the crops on the land on furnishing themselves that the land lord's share of the crops is kept apart, if the pending appeal shd be decided in their favour Encls 6 petns (nos. 1350 & 51)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 261 of 1914

Serial No. 6

From, the Dy Commr, Mysore Dt.

Letter no. 292 Dy 22. 1. 1915.

Submits report with records called for in Sl. 5.

"The facts of the case are embodied at length in Sub Divl Officer's Hukum no 131 Dy 17th Feby. 1914 and Dy. Commrs. order no 160 Dy 16th Septr 1914 appealed against.

The Dy. Commr has ordered the resumption of the inam lands of the Sachi matt from the present holders and their restoration to the institution. He ~~also~~ thinks that the ryots are not entitled to get back the Contribution amount paid by them for the conversion of dry inam lands into wet, as the apltts enjoyed the full produce from the lands for nearly 20 years. In the preamble of ~~this~~ this order, the Dy. Commr observes that some dry lands were endowed as inam to the Sachi matt, that it is not clear how these lands were being enjoyed by the matt till about the year 1868, that in that year or thereabouts, the Inam

Serial No.

were given to the apltts or their ancestors or their vendors by the then head of the matt for cash kandayam and pattas were issued, and that since then cash kandayam has been being realised.

The main contention of the apltts appears to be that even before the original grant of these lands as inam, their ancestors were enjoying them paying kandayam to govt, that the right, title and interest in these lands vests in them, that the matt was allowed merely the right to receive the kandaya from the tenants and consequently the lands cannot be resumed from them and restored to the matt. My invite kind reference to the Taluk accounts for the years 1863 to 1865, during which period the lands are said to be under govt management, and to certain other record in their possession. It therefore seems necessary to know the exact character of these inam lands.

Submitted with a Dft. to the Inam Supt.

MMD 31-3-1915.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(P.P.) 5,000—2-11-15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 261 of 191 4

Serial No.	
	Draft. abd. The appellant refers to certain Taluk accounts of 1863-65 and also chitta of 1827, 28. If these are not to be found in the Ver. papers call for them also Sec 22-4-15
7	No. 2378 dt 26.4.15 - To the Dwan Supdt - requests for an extract of the detailed Dwan Register rela ting to the grant of Dwan lands for the upkeep of the Sachi Matha, now before Govt for consideration & requests the nos. of papers bearing on the question :- whether the occupancy of Dwan lands was granted or the right to levy Revenue only. The Taluk accts of 1863-65 and also chitta of 1827, 28 have not been received with the Record submitted with a Dft. to the Dy. Comm. (MLNS) 30.4.15 1/5/15 Dft to Sec 4/5/15
8	No. 2537 dt 5 5/15 - To the Myore invites refee to sub paras 3 & 4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB- 25 000—2 11 11

Serial No.

Nara & of the appeal petn
D No 946 herewith for & requests
~~search~~ being made in the Dk
records Dubt for Govt perusal
Jy accts of 1863-65 also chitta
of 1827-28 & other papers if any,
said to throw light on the exact
character of the Inam lands in qus
Also the records in respect thereof
qus From, the Inam Supt

Letter no 98 Dy 3.5.1915.

Submits copy of the Inam
register of Hadinaru Village, Karkal
Taluk, pertaining to the inam land
of Gachi matt and reports that
the inam is one settled entirely
on the jari accounts and that there
are no other papers in his office
on the subject.

The Dy. Commrs. further report
may be awaited

Submtd.
NN 11/5
8.5.1915. 10/5/15

Gen
10

11/5

Petition dated 22nd May 1915
(but received in the Secrt on 9th July 15)
from Badappa Devaru of Gachi mutt

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

GPB—25 000—9 11 14

Serial No.

praying for early orders in the case.

Submitted with a Dft. forwarding the petition

AV(SW) in Centre for report

23.7.1915 23/7/15

11 From, the Dy. Commr., Mysore Dist.

Letter no 128 Dy 3.8.15 (but received in the Branch on 27.9.1915).

Reports with reference to Sl. 8, that no record prior to 1865 relating to the subject is forthcoming in the Taluk records, and that the old Kulavan chittas both in the Dist. and Taluk record, were destroyed as directed in the G.O of July 1912.

This case will if so directed, be posted for hearing with the next batch of cases.

Submitted. for orders.

AV(SW) 27.9.1915 30/9/15

Yr. Pet. with the next batch of cases

Sd

4.10.15

AV

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—95 000—2 11 14

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 261 of 1914 9

Serial No.

12

From, Basappa Dewan of Gachi matha.
Petition dt 27.10.1915 (but presented to the member at Mysore on 3.11.15) **.

Complains that some of the inam lands of the matha in dispute have been sold to one Subraya, that the ryots of these lands who are paupers are likely to walk away with the produce on the lands and that it may be rather difficult to get back the produce after it has been taken away and therefore prays that it may be taken possession of by Govt.

From Serial 5, it will be seen that the Dy. Commr has been directed to stay execution of his order pending the disposal of the appeal, the appellants being allowed to remove the crops on the land on furnishing securities binding themselves to pay the land lord's share of the crops to the matha if the finding in appeal should be adverse to them

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 261 of 1914 D

Serial No.

This case has been directed to be posted for hearing.
Submitted.
NV(95) May this case be
13.11.1915 posted for hearing in the
first week of January 1916?
[illegible]
26.11.15

Yes after consulting
the convenience of the member
[illegible]
30.11.15

AV.
Submd. with Dft. notices to the 2nd
NV(95) [illegible]
7-12-15.

Issue
[illegible]
9/12

Appts. by mefrs. K. Ramaswamy
Iyengar &
Mr Varadachar
for Mr. K. M for the Maths.
(Opponents).
Adjd 2 & 4 Feb. 1916 at
request of adv.
[illegible]
23/12/15

Submd. with Dft. notices to the Advocates
NV(95)
29.12.15. 29.12.15

As the Advocates have been informed,
no notice seems necessary.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 261 of 1914

Serial No.

Submd. with 4th. notices to the 3rd prox

MC 957 anm
15.2.16. 15/4/16

ALS Ex
15/2

The Case may be adjd.

3/3/16

Submd with 4th notices to the 24th

MC(94) anm
22.4.16 24/4/16

ALS
Lee
4/5/16

Case adj'd to 1st June
Lee.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000 30 6-15

Mr. K.A. Hayes
Mr. [illegible]
Mr. [illegible]

All the parties represented that they have documents which [illegible] the original inquiry, calculated to throw light on the character of the tenure of the lands by the Inuit on the one side and the present applicants their predecessors in interest on the other. ~~As to evidence on record is meagre~~, It is desirable that the parties should be allowed an opportunity of producing this addl. evidence, esp. as the evidence already on record is meagre. The case will therefore be sent down to the Commr. with a view to his directing the Sub-Commr. to receive ~~[illegible]~~ such addl. evidence as he may think fit and to report with his opinion.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 261 of 1914

Serial No.

Submtd. with a Dft. order.

M Ch(S)
2.6.1916.

amn
2/6/16

874
5-6-1916

Draft order submitted U/S 2 Mr. Member for favour of approval

Sd
5. 6. 16

Sd
6.6.16

bst 6/6/16

13 No 285-S dt 13.6.16, to Dr, regd &c, forwarding copies of S.O, requesting the case to be seen with a view to his directing the Subdl Offr concerned to take such addl. evidence as may be offered by the parties & Subsg the same to Govt with his opinion.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30.6.15

Pošiljatelj: [illegible]
Ivan Šidar Putar
Banovac 8

C. R. No. 60 / 1914-15 — 1/9. nine Sheets





In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

Revenue Appeal No. 95 of 1913-14

Present: A. Subramanya Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner.

Dated 16th September 1914.

Appellant: Santi Mallish and others residents of Muddahalli and Hadinaru respectively Nanjangud Taluk.

Vs

Respondent: The Gachi Mutt Swami at Mysore

Read Kararee Correspondence ending with yadi No. 710 dated 4th May 1914 received from the Assistant Commissioner, Nanjangud Sub Division re the Recovery

Recovery of Kandayam on the Inam lands.

Order.

This is a simple case the facts of which cannot be disputed. The Gatchi Mutt at Mysore is an institution which was endowed with dry lands as Inam by the late Maharaja H.H. Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur III. How these lands were being enjoyed by the Mutt till about the year 1868 is not clear. In that year or thereabouts the lands were given to the appellants or their ancestors or their Vendor by the then head of the Mutt on Cash Kandayam and pattas were issued

Since then Cash Kandayam
in accordance with these patta
have been recovered till the
conversion of the dry lands
into wet and also the intro-
duction of the Survey Settlement
since when the appellants
or their predecessors in title
have been paying assessment
at Survey rates for the wet
and dry lands. The respondent
seems to have also recovered
Kandayam at this rate. The
contribution for converting dry
into wet land seems to have
been paid to Government
by the appellants or their
predecessors in title. In 190
-1907 he applied to the
Revenue authorities for
putting

nothing now in possession
of these Inam lands as they
had been alienated. The
matter formed the subject-
of correspondence since then.
In 1912 most of the appellants
appeared before the Taluk
Amildar and produced the
documents in their favour.
The Sub Divisional Officer
has, on perusal of the
records, ordered that appellants
cannot be treated as Kandayam
tenants but must be tenants
at will paying gutta in
kind at the rates prevailing
in the village or must quit
the land.

Appellants have appealed
against

against this order. I do not think that the contentions of the appellants can be upheld There are clear decisions to the effect that the letting of lands on permanent lease is an alienation, Letting out Minor Inam lands for a fixed cash Kandayam is a permanent lease and thus an alienation. The Stead of a Mutt can demand the cancellation of an alienation made by any of his predecessors, and respondent has exercised this right. This is a case of resumption in favour of an Institution of an Inam land alienated from it and not a case of resumption to Government on account of

object of the trust
being not fulfilled. Before
such resumption can be
ordered it has to be seen
that if the Institution is
properly maintained. If
it is not so maintained
no resumption on condition
of the institution being
revived or of a new
institution being
can be ordered in the
circumstances of this case
for it is clear that the
intention of the respondents'
predecessors was to treat
the appellants as K
tenants and to recognise
alienations of occupancy-
rights made by such tenants
and

and government should be
just before being generous
and must recognise the
rights in Equity of the
appellants. The lease of the
lands for Kandayan in
1868 or thereabouts was not
due to any partiality in
favour of any person and
was entered into in the
ordinary course of business.
The records show that enquiry
has been made about the
proper maintance of the
Institution and there is a
Mahazar to the effect
that the Institution is
properly maintained. So
there can be no objection
to order restitution to the
Institution. In ordering
this

In

thus, it has to be seen if
appellants deserve any
compensation for dispossession
I do not think that anything
except perhaps the contribution
amount which the ryots seem
to have paid to government
in their belief that the land
was theirs, can be claimed
Even this amount I am not
prepared to grant for the
ryots have nearly 20 year
enjoyed full produce an
this sufficient compensatio
for having the contribution
amount. Thus the Sub Divis
officer's order directing re
restitution to the Institute
is correct and is uphe
He has been considerate
in saying that appellan
may, if they so desir
continue

as tenants at will.

The appeal is dismissed.

Signed. A. Subramanya Ayya

16. 9 1914 Deputy Commissioner



"True Copy"

H. V. Krishnamurthy

For Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Cd. Rs. —

(1) 6 Pys applied for by Mr B. Kumaraswamy Advocate for appellant.

(2) do — on 18 9 14

(3) do — ready on 24 9. 14

(4) do delivered on 25 9 14

(5) No. of words 732.

(6) Copying fees } 1 — 0 — 0
including Comparing } 0 — 4 — 0
fees & Cost of the Papers } 0 — 2 — 3

— 1 — 6 — 3

In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner, Nuwara Eliya

Revenue Appeal No 96 of 1913-14.

Present: A Subramaniyam Esqr.
B A
Dy Commissioner

Dated 16th September 1914.

Appellant T Puthabasamy &ors.
vs
Respondent: Gatchi Muthu
Mupere (Government)

Read the Correspondence
ending with the Kanarese
paper No 710 dated 4th May 1914
received from the Assistant
Commissioner, Nanjanpuram
Sub Division re the Appeal
Case of Kandayam due
on the Iramlands of Gatchi
Muthu in the Village of Hadi-
nara Nanjanpuram Taluk

Order

For

Order

For reasons detailed in Case No 95 of 1913—14 this appeal is dismissed. No doubt the appellant was not heard before the Order ~~appealed~~ appealed against was passed; but that is not of any importance as appellant has no case on the merits.

16th September 1914 (SD) A. Subramania Iyer
Dy Commissioner

True Copy
Attested

Ex'd by
CR

W. Whitehead
For Dy Commissioner
Superintendent

CR

Advocate. for

(2) do. do. on —9—14 Cr

(3) do- Receipt on 24.9.14 Cr

(4) Do — delivered on 25.9.14 Cr

(5) Number of Copies 122 Cr

(6) Typing fees. } Rs—a P
Incidental charges } 6—5—6 Cr
Cash

..... ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

1914-15 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

..... } Vs. { .....

ಮು॥ ರಾ॥ ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಕೆ. ರಾಮುಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ಬಿ.ಎ., ಬಿ.ಎಲ್. ಯವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಖ್ಯೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಿಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಯಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹಾ ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ರೀಫಂಡ್ ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪನ್ನು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಧೇನೆ.

ಈ ಆಧಾರದಮೇಲೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲೀ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಯಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧ್ಧೇನೆ.

28.11.1911

ರುಜು

ತಾರೀಖು

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯುಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮವು ರುಜುಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧ್ಧೇನೆ.

Advocate

The Secretary

to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of mysore,

Muzrai Department,

B A N G A L O R E.

Petitioner----- Sirdar Putta Basava Raj Urs, residing in Fort, Mysore City.

Opponent ------ Gachi Matt, by its Agent, Basappa Devaru, residing in Hale Agrahar, Mysore City.

The petitioner above named begs to submit the following:-

1. The petitioner is the owner of land bearing Survey No. 273 in the village of Hadinaru, - Hadinaru Hobli, Nanjangud Taluk, having purchased the same from Nanjunda Setty and others under the registered sale deed dated 22-1-1894 for Rs. 1,200/-

2. As the petitioner was informed at the - time of the aforesaid sale that he had to pay the Manager for the time being of the opponent Mutt i.e. the Gachi Mutt, Rs. 28-4-0, on account of the -- Kandayam Rs. 25-8-11, Water Rate 1-1-6 and Local

Cess

Cess 1-9-7, the petitioner has been making the payment of the said amount since the time of the sale in his favour.

3. The petitioner had to spend vast sums of money to render the above said land, which was formerly dry, fit for wet cultivation and he had also to pay the Government the amount of contribution, which was payable to it.

4. The petitioner has been getting the above said land cultivated by his tenants and paying - Rs. 28-4-0 annually to the Manager of the opponent Mutt for the time being and he has receipts for such payments from Rudra Devaru, and after him, the opponent Basappa Devaru, from the year 1895, not to say anything of the payments made by his predecessors in title.

5. The petitioner learnt in or about the - middle of April 1914 that the opponent Basappa Devaru had applied to the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud Sub Division, that some lands in Hadinaru were given to the opponent Mutt as Inam by H.H. Sri Krishnaraja Wadayar Bahadur and that the said Sub Division Officer should take possession of the said lands from their present holders and give him possession of the same, and that the Sub Division Officer passed an order to the effect that the lands alleged to have been given as Inam to the opponent Mutt should be resumed from the possession of their present holders, if they were unwilling to give the Mutt Gutta produce; and the petitioner who had not received any notice of the proceedings which culminated in that order and who was ignorant of the said proceedings, though his

above

above said land was also to be effected by it, petitioned the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to have the said order cancelled, as it was passed behind his back and without ascertaining from him his right in the above said land.

6. The said Deputy Commissioner has, in his order a copy of which herewith submitted, rejected the petitioner's application on the ground that the Sub Division Officer's order on the merits was - correct.

7. A perusal of the proceedings in this case makes it clear that the Courts below have observed

(1) that the Inam to the opponent Mutt was of the lands claimed by the opponen

(2) that the predecessors in title of the present holders of the said lands got a lease of the lands in their respective occupation in or about 1868 from one of the Managers of the opponent Mutt and

(3) that the present holders of the said lands should be dealt with as tenants at will, though they have been paying - Kandayam in cash for a number of years and though they have paid the contribution - amount to the Government, when the lands were converted from dry into wet:

8. As the petitioner has not had the benefit of being heard in the case, he has been deprived of

an

an opportunity to contest the truth of the allegations made by the opponent; and the petitioner begs leave to submit

(1) that, if a proper enquiry be - held, it will be evident that the Inam given to and enjoyed by the opponent Mutt could not have been of the lands, but that it must have been of the <u>right to recover the Kandayam from the tenants</u> who were already cultivating the said lands at the time of the grant to the opponent Mutt;

(2) that the learned Deputy Commissioner has erred in assuming that the predecessors in title of the present holders of the lands in question took a lease of the said lands in or about 1868 from one of the Managers of the opponent Mutt;

(3) that the petitioner's submission that the predecessors in title of the present holders of the said lands were cultivating them even before the year 1868 paying Kandayam therefor, can be corroborated by a reference to the accounts maintained in the Taluk for the years 1863 to 1865, during which years the said lands were under the management of the - Government;

(4) that, by a reference to the above said accounts, it will also be evident that the possession of the predecessors in interest of the present holders is very -

ancient

ancient and not subsequent to 1868 and tha even the Government then treated them as - Kandayam paying occupants and not as precarious tenants or as tenants at will;

(5) that, if a reference be made to the Chitta copies for the years Sarvajitu and Sarvadhari (1827 & 1828), it will be evident that the lands in question were even then in the occupation of the predecessors in title of the present holders of the said lands, who were making cash payments;

(6) that the manner of the enjoyment by the opponent Mutt of its interest in the lands in question from several years viz., (a) that the successive Managers have throughout received Cash Kandayam from the occupants of the said lands (b) that the opponent Mutt has not paid any contribution amount to the Government (c) that the amount of Kandayam paid by the respective occupants is at Survey rates and the inclusion of Local Cess and of Water Rate in the case of wet lands,indicates the nature of the grant to the opponent Mutt i.e., that it must have been only the Kandayam payable by the occupants, that was assigned to it;

(7) that, assuming for the sake of - argument, that the observation of the - learned Deputy Commissioner that the lease took place in 1868 is correct, the petitioner

submits

submits that his finding that the successors in office of the grantor of the - lease are not bound by it on the ground that it is a permanent alienatio , is incorrect, as the learned Deputy Commissioner has himself in his order observed that the lease in 1868 was not an act of partiality, but that it was done in the course of - business;

(8) that the view of the learned - Deputy Commissioner that the lease -- alleged to have been effected in 1868 should be held to be an alienation not binding on the opponent Mutt is incorrect, in as much as the decided cases lay down also that such leases are bindin_ on the successors of the grantor, if they were entered into under special circumstances and as in the case under reference the grantor of the alleged lease should have been put to the necessity of entering into it under the economic conditions which existed then;

(9) that the learned Deputy Commissioner's order is very unjust and inequitable as it - grants no relief whatever to the present - holders of the lands who have bona fide spent large sums of money for convertin_ them from

dry

dry into wet, who have paid the contribution amount and in cases of purchases, the purchase money as well and who are - paying full assessment with water rate; and

(10) that the petitioner has been unable to place all his contentions in the Courts below as he was not informed of the contentions of the opponent, though he is under the rules to be given notice of the proceedings.

The petitioner wherefore respectfully prays that the orders of the Deputy Commissioner may be set aside and that, pending the disposal of this petition, the execution of the order appealed against may be directed to be stayed, as the Taluk authorities are calling upon the petitioner to deliver possession of his land to the oppoent Mutt.

BANGALORE
9th December 1914

TWO RUPEES

1914 1915
SECTION III B
FILE No. 261-14
SERIAL No. 2

To

The Secretary

to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Petitioner------ Sirdar Putta Basava Raj Urs, residing in Fort, Mysore City.

The petitioner above named begs to submit the following:-

1. The petitioner is the owner of land bearing Survey No. 273 in the village of Hadinaru, - Hadinaru Hobli, Nanjangud Taluk, having purchased the same from Nanjunda Setty and others under the registered sale deed dated 22-1-1894, for Rs. 1,200/-.

2. The petitioner learnt in or about the - middle of April 1914, that the opponent Basappa - Devaru, had applied to the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud Sub Division, that some lands in ----- Hadinaru were given to the opponent Mutt, as Inam by H.H. Sri Krishnaraja Wadayar Bahadur and that the said Sub Division Officer should take possession of the said lands from their present holders and give him possession of the same, and that the Sub Division Officer passed an order to the effect that the lands alleged to have been given as Inam to the opponent Mutt should be resumed from the possession of their present holders, if they were unwilling to give the

Mutt Gutta produce; and the petitioner who had not received any notice of the proceedings, which culminated in that order, and who was ignorant of the said proceedings, though his above said land was also to be affected by it, petitioned the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to have the said order cancelled, as it was passed behind his back and without ascertaining from him his right in the above said land.

3. As the petitioner's appeal to the said Deputy Commissioner was rejected by him, the petitioner has preferred an appeal against the said Deputy Commissioner's order to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

4. The petitioner has raised crops on the land in question in the current year, as he has been from the date of the sale in his favour (28-1-1894) and the exparte proceedings adopted in the Court of 1st instance has deprived the petitioner of his right to contest the opponent's claim to take -- possession of the land.

5. The taluk authorities are about to enforce the order of the Deputy Commissioner and to take possession of the land, and as the petitioner will be subjected to much loss if he should be deprived of the possession of the land in question during the pendency of these proceedings and as he has raised crops on the land in question, he respectfully prays that the execution of the order of the Deputy Commissioner may be directed to be stayed pending the disposal of this appeal to the Government.

BANCALORE
10th December 1914

DIARY No 94

TO,

SECTION 9 The

FILE No. 261-14

SERIAL No. 3

TWO RUPEES

retary to Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

zrai Appeal No. of 14-15.

1. Lingayya son of Verayyana Siddayya. 2. Girimallayyana Nanjiah. 3. Chikkalayyana Madayya. 4. Chikkalingayya son of Mari Lingayya. 5. Sutti Mallayya. 6. Devayyana Madayya. 7. Lingayya son of Deve-Gowdana Lingayya. 8. Lingiah son of Basavayyana Lingayya. 9. Kende Gowda son of Puttu-Basave Gowda. 10. Deveerammana Mallayya. 11. Bujangiah son of Chikka-Lingayyana Madayya. 12. Deveygowdana Puttayya. 13. Kempammana Maddayya.	Appellants.

-vs-

The Swami of the Gutchi Mutt at Mysore.	Respondent.

The appellants abovenamed respectfully beg to prefer the following appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore dated 16-9-14 in Revenue Appeal No.95 of 13-14 on his file on the following among other grounds:-

1 The appellants are the owners of several plots of land in the village of Hadimaru, Nanjangud Taluk, having inherited them from their fore-fathers.

2. The said lands have been in the uninterrupted

poessession and enjoyment of the appellants or their fore fathers for the past nearly 100 years.

3. Formerly the fore fathers of the appellants were paying to Circar the kandayam due on these lands. Since the year 1828 or there-abouts the said kandayam is being paid to the Manager for the time being of the Gutchi Mutt of Mysore, as - appellants learn that the said Mutt was endowed by Government with the right of collecting the Kandayam for the lands in question

4. The appellants and their fore-fathers have spent vast sums of money, not only in making lands which once were unfit for cultivation into cultivable lands, but also in paying contribution to Government at the time when the said lands were converted from dry to wet.

5. Upon the motion of the respondent above named the Sub Divisional Officer of Nanjangud appears to have passed an order to the effect that the lands in possession of the appellants and before them of - their fore fathers, should be resumed, as they were Inam lands.

6. No notice of any kind was served on the appellants of the petition of the respondent, nor were they informed of the nature of the enquiry before the said Sub Divisional Officer. As a matter of fact, there appears to have been no formal enquiry - in the matter.

7 The appellants had ample evidence inculding unimpeachable Government records to prove that the lands in their possession, as such, were never endowed to the

Gutchi Mutt, and what the said Mutt was granted by Government was the right to collect the kandayam due to Government by the ryots.

8 The appellants were allowed no reasonable opportunity of adducing their evidence either before the Sub Divisional officer or in appeal before the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore.

9 Inasmuch as the lands in question were never leased or otherwise dealt with by the Mutt authorities, the observation of the Courts below that the Mutt was in possession of these lands and that the Mutt alienated the said lands is - unsound and in correct.

10. The burden of proving that the lands in question were endowed as such, in favour of the Gutchi mutt, and that the Mutt in its turn, alienated the lands in favour of the fore-fathers of the appellants, was on the respondent, and he has failed to discharge that burden.

11. Nor are there any materials on record to raise a reasonable presumption in favour of the respondent that -the right ~~right,~~ title, and interest in these lands -- vested in the Mutt.

12. The mere fact that the Mutt has enjoyed the right of collecting the kandayam due on the lands, does not necessarily raise the presumption that the Mutt is the owner of the said lands.

13. Under any circumstance, the factum of alienation by the Mutt, which alone could entitle the learned Deputy Commissioner to cancel it, has not been proved in the case.

14. For the foregoing among other reasons, the appellants respectfully pray that this Hon'ble Court

may be graciously pleased to set aside the orders of the Courts below and remand the case, with a view to enable the appellants to adduce all possible evidence in support of their contention.

Bangalore.

7th December, 1914.

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯ ಸಹಿ

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯ ಹೆಸರು

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ



To,

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

Muzrai Appeal No. of 14-15.

(Application for Stay of Execution)

1. Lingayya.
2. Nanjiah
3. Madayya.
4. Chikkalingayya.
5. Sutti Mallayya.
6. Devayyana Madayya.
7. Lingayya.
8. Lingayya.
9. Kende Gowda.
10. Mallayya.
11. Bujangiah.
12. Puttayya.
13. Maddayya. — Appellants.

-vs-

The Swami of Gutchi Mutt at Mysore. — Respondent.

The appellants abovenamed respectfully begs to state as follows:-

1. The appellants have this day preferred an appeal before this Hon'ble Court against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, by which certain lands which have been in the possession of the appellants for nearly 100 years have been ordered to be resumed as Inam Lands.

2. Subsequent to the passing of this order the Taluk authorities appear to have been directed to execute the same and take possession of the lands in question from the appellants.

3. If the order now under appeal be executed considerable loss and damage will result to the appellants.

4. Crops of the value of thousands of rupees are now standing ready to be cut and harvested and if the order of the learned Deputy Commissioner be not stayed until the hearing and disposal of the present appeal by this Hon'ble Court the damage to the appellants will be considerable.

5 The appellants therefore pray that this Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to order stay of execution of the learned Deputy Commissioner's - order until the present appeal as against the said order is heard and disposed of on merits.

Bangalore
7.12.44

for Parandhama
for D-5
appellants

DIARY No. 942

Dated 6/12/15

Recd 15/16

Dear Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No. 1-1/2015-Pol.I dated 1st December, 2015 on the subject mentioned above and to say that the Ministry of Home Affairs has no objection to the proposal of the Ministry of Defence to grant permission to Shri Ajay Kumar, Ex-Major, to write a book titled "Operation Vijay: A Soldier's Story" subject to the following conditions:

(i) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the relations of India with any foreign State.

(ii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of public order.

(iii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the sovereignty and integrity of India.

(iv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the security of the State.

(v) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely friendly relations with foreign States.

(vi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely public morality or decency.

(vii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(viii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(x) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(xi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(xii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(xiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(xiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(xv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(xvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(xvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(xviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(xix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(xx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(xxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(xxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(xxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(xxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(xxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(xxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(xxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(xxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(xxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(xxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(xxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(xxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(xxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(xxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(xxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(xxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(xxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(xxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(xxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(xl) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(xli) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(xlii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(xliii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(xliv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(xlv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(xlvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(xlvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(xlviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(xlix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(l) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(li) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(lii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(liii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(liv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(lv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(lvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(lvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(lviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(lix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(lx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(lxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(lxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(lxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(lxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(lxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(lxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(lxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(lxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(lxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(lxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(lxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(lxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(lxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(lxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(lxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(lxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(lxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(lxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(lxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(lxxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(lxxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(lxxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(lxxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(lxxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(lxxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(lxxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(lxxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(lxxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(lxxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(xc) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(xci) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(xcii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(xciii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(xciv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(xcv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(xcvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(xcvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(xcviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(xcix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(c) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ci) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ciii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(civ) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cxxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cxxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cxxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cxxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cxxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cxxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cxxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cxxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cxxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cxxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cxl) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cxli) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cxlii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cxliii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cxliv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cxlv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cxlvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cxlvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cxlviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cxlix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cl) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cli) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(clii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cliii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cliv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(clv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(clvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(clvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(clviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(clix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(clx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(clxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(clxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(clxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(clxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(clxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(clxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(clxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(clxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(clxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(clxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(clxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(clxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(clxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(clxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(clxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(clxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(clxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(clxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(clxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(clxxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(clxxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(clxxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(clxxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(clxxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(clxxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(clxxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(clxxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(clxxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(clxxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cxc) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cxci) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cxcii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cxciii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cxciv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cxcv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cxcvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cxcvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cxcviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cxcix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cc) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cci) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cciii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cciv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccxxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccxxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccxxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccxxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccxxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccxxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccxxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccxxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccxxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccxxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccxl) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccxli) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccxlii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccxliii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccxliv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccxlv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccxlvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccxlvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccxlviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccxlix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccl) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccli) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cclii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccliii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cclxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cclxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cclxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cclxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cclxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cclxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cclxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cclxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cclxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cclxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cclxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cclxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cclxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cclxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cclxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cclxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cclxxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cclxxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cclxxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cclxxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cclxxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cclxxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cclxxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cclxxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cclxxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cclxxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccxc) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccxci) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccxcii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccxciii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccxciv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccxcv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccxcvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccxcvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccxcviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccxcix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccc) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccci) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cccii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccciii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccciv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cccv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cccvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cccvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cccviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cccix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cccx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cccxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cccxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cccxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cccxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cccxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cccxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cccxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cccxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cccxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cccxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cccxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cccxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cccxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cccxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cccxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cccxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cccxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cccxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cccxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cccxxx) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cccxxxi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cccxxxii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cccxxxiii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cccxxxiv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cccxxxv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cccxxxvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cccxxxvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cccxxxviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cccxxxix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cccxl) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cccxli) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cccxlii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cccxliii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cccxliv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(cccxlv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(cccxlvi) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(cccxlvii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(cccxlviii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(cccxlix) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(cccl) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccccli) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccccliii) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the punishment of any offence.

(ccccliv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the maintenance of the law.

(ccccliv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the investigation of any offence.

(ccccliv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prevention of any offence.

(ccccliv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the detection of any offence.

(ccccliv) The author shall not write anything which is likely to affect adversely the prosecution of any offence.

(ccccliv

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಷಿ-೨೦೨೧-೨೨/೧೨೩೪

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೫-೦೮-೨೦೨೧

ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಿಇಒಗಳಿಗೆ

ವಿಷಯ: ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನ ಕುರಿತು.

ಮಹಾಶಯರೇ,

ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಹಂತದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾಗಿ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೧. ಪ್ರತಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕೃಷಿ ಸಲಹಾ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿ ತ್ರೈಮಾಸಿಕಕ್ಕೊಮ್ಮೆ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸಬೇಕು. ಸಭೆಯ ನಡಾವಳಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಖಲಿಸಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨. ಬೆಳೆ ವಿಮೆ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕವನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಬೇಕು. ವಿಳಂಬವಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

೩. ಬೆಳೆ ಸಮೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿ ಹಂಗಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸಿ, ಸಮೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಸಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು. ಸಮೀಕ್ಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲೋಪ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಶಿಸ್ತುಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

೪. ಸಾವಯವ ಕೃಷಿ ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮೀಸಲಿಟ್ಟ ಅನುದಾನವನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಬಳಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಬಳಕೆಯಾಗದೆ ಉಳಿದ ಅನುದಾನವನ್ನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

೫. ಕೃಷಿ ಹೊಂಡ ಮತ್ತು ಹನಿ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳ ಫಲಾನುಭವಿಗಳ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರದರ್ಶಕತೆ ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಆಯ್ಕೆಯ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಬೇಕು.

೬. ಕೃಷಿ ಯಾಂತ್ರೀಕರಣ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿ ಪಡೆದ ಯಂತ್ರೋಪಕರಣಗಳ ಬಳಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಫಲಾನುಭವಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕು.

೭. ಮಣ್ಣು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಣ್ಣು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಮಾದರಿಗಳ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ೧೫ ದಿನಗಳೊಳಗೆ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ತಲುಪಿಸಬೇಕು.

೮. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಸಹಾಯವಾಣಿ ೧೫೫೩೦೦ ಕುರಿತು ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಕ ಪ್ರಚಾರ ನಡೆಸಬೇಕು.

೯. ಕೃಷಿ ಮೇಳಗಳನ್ನು ಆಯೋಜಿಸುವಾಗ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಜನಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಿ ಸಹಕಾರ ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕು.

೧೦. ಈ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿ ತಿಂಗಳ ೫ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನೊಳಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಕೃಷಿ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

ప్రశ్న: 67

వేర్పాటువాదులు ప్రత్యేక రాష్ట్రం కోసం చేసే ఉద్యమాలను ఎలా అణచివేయాలి?

జవాబు:

వేర్పాటువాదులు ప్రత్యేక రాష్ట్రం కోసం చేసే ఉద్యమాలను అణచివేయడానికి ముందుగా వారి ఉద్యమాలకు మద్దతు ఇస్తున్న వారిని గుర్తించాలి. ఉద్యమాలకు ఆర్థిక సహాయం అందిస్తున్న వారిని, ఆయుధాలు సరఫరా చేస్తున్న వారిని, ఉద్యమకారులకు ఆశ్రయం కల్పిస్తున్న వారిని, ఉద్యమకారులకు శిక్షణ ఇస్తున్న వారిని, ఉద్యమకారులకు రవాణా సౌకర్యం కల్పిస్తున్న వారిని, ఉద్యమకారులకు ఇతర సహాయ సహకారాలు అందిస్తున్న వారిని గుర్తించి వారిపై కఠిన చర్యలు తీసుకోవాలి. ఉద్యమకారులకు ఆర్థిక సహాయం అందకుండా చేయాలి. ఉద్యమకారులకు ఆయుధాలు సరఫరా కాకుండా చూడాలి. ఉద్యమకారులకు ఆశ్రయం కల్పించే వారిపై కఠిన చర్యలు తీసుకోవాలి. ఉద్యమకారులకు శిక్షణ ఇచ్చే వారిపై కఠిన చర్యలు తీసుకోవాలి. ఉద్యమకారులకు రవాణా సౌకర్యం కల్పించే వారిపై కఠిన చర్యలు తీసుకోవాలి. ఉద్యమకారులకు ఇతర సహాయ సహకారాలు అందించే వారిపై కఠిన చర్యలు తీసుకోవాలి.

ఇలాంటి చర్యలు తీసుకోవడం వల్ల ఉద్యమకారులు తమ ఉద్యమాన్ని కొనసాగించడానికి అవసరమైన వనరులు అందకుండా పోతాయి. అప్పుడు ఉద్యమాలు వాటంతట అవే సమసిపోతాయి.

సంతకం

ఇట్లు,
తెలుగు రచయిత.

తేదీ: 1/1/15

Copy of letter No 2537/ MUZ.261-14-6 dated, Banga 5th May 1915 from the General and Revenue Secretary to the Commissioner , Mysore District.

" Adverting to your letter No. 292 dated the 20th January 15 reporting on the appeal petition of Sirdar Patta Basavaraj Urs and others regarding the resumption from the appellants and restoration to Gachi Matt at Mysore of Inam Survey No 273 Hadinaru village the Nanjangud Taluk, I am directed to invite reference to sub paras 3 and 5 of para 8 of the appeal petition D.No. 946 herewith forwarded, and to request that if you will be so good as to cause a thorough search being made in the Taluk records, and to submit for perusal of Government, the Taluk accounts of 1863-65 and also chitta of 1827 1828 and other papers if any, which it said would throw light on the original character of the Inam land in question.

2. The entire records are herewith returned for reference and re-submission.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Registered No. 409 Mysore District.

No. 12866 En 3 Dated, Mysore, 24th May 1915

25.5.1915

Copy is forwarded to the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, who is requested to get a thorough search made in the Taluk Records for the accounts requisitioned by Government and submit a report before the 30th June 1915. The appeal petitions together with the three files of papers are herewith transmitted for reference and return.

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

SVS

Received 31-5-15

Sree Sidda Putta Basavaraj
& others

File No. 2614
Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 1349 Encl

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 19 .

To

The Dy Commr,
Mysore Dist,

Date of issue 23.12.1914

Signed by Hevavamundayya

Has the hour to forwd herewith for favr of report with record the marginally noted petitions from Sidda Putta Basava Raj urs of Hyd and Linga yya and other appealing against the Dy Commrs order directing the resumption from the apltts of inam S. No. 273 of Hadinaru village in t

over.

No 946 with 2 encls
to 944 ~~with~~
No 944 (A)
" 948

SUBJECT.

Ramjandas Lakshmandas Gadekar
restraining the Sachi
Mutt at Mysore, and to
request that pending the
disposal of the appeal,
the execution of the Dy.
Commissioners may be
stayed, the appts being
allowed to remove the crops
on the land on furnishing
securities binding themselves
to pay the land lord's share
of the crops to the Matha
if the finding in appeal
should be adverse to them.

By order

Asst Sec

Dy Commr

Dy Sec

23/12/14

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28 2 11.

1350 కన్నడ

శ్రీ

Sirsa 261-14-5

23.12.1914

మన్య సేవలు సాలిరన్యాయములు
ఎరగిన వకీలును నేర్పరిచి చిరంజీవి
వీరన్నపై పిలిపించుచుండుము.

మీ ఉత్తరమును మీ దినచర్యను
తరచూ వ్రాయుచుండుము.

సంగీతమును కొంత చదువుము
ఇది మిక్కిలి ఇష్టం వేమో చెప్పుము.
బాగుగా చదువుకొని ఎలిగిన పిమ్మట
మన్యసేవలు చేయుటకు వాటిని విడిచిపెట్టి
వచ్చెదవని వస్తేగాని మన్యసేవలు
తక్కిన కార్యములు మీరు తరచుగా
ఎరగుటకొరకు (1) 946, 948, (2)
944, 944(a) అను నంబరుగల రిజిస్టరులు
నీవు వ్రాసిన వెంటనే నీ తక్కిన వివరములు
వ్రాసెదను జాగ్రత్త.

నిన్ను ఉత్తరములు తరచుగా
వ్రాయుచుండుమని చెప్పుటకాదు
అంతకు మించిన కార్యములు
ఉన్నందున తీరికలేక వ్రాయలేదు
వ్రాయకపోయినంత మాత్రమున
నిన్ను మరువలేదు నీవు మాత్రము
నన్ను మరువకుండుము

1349
1350 21

A. Subrahmanya Iyer, Esqre., B.A
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

DIARY No. 1153

SECTION Judicial
FILE No. A.A
SERIAL No. 6

To H. Narasinga Rao, Esqre., B.A., B.L
Secretary to the Govt of
the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts
Musrai branch

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 1349 Misc.
61-14-5 dated 23-12-14 forwarding for report with
-ends the marginally noted petitions from Sirdar
-itta Basavaraj Urs of Mysore and Lingayya and others
-ppealing against this office order directing the resump
-ion from the petitioners and restoration to Gyachi Mutt
Inam S. No. 273 of Hadinaru village in the Nanjangud
luk, I have the honor to state that orders
ere issued to the Sub Dy Off: of Nanjangud in this
ffice No 283 dated 28-12-14 to stay the Execution of the
-der passed in this Court and permit petitioners to
-emove their share of crops on the conditions referred
-in the docket under reply, pending disposal of
-e appeal before Govt.

1 No 946
2 " 944
3 " 944(A)
4 " 948

The facts of the case are embodied at length
in the Judgment in Revenue appeal Nos 95 and
6 of 1913-14 on the file of my Court.

The connected records with the appeal petitions
received with the docket under reply are herewith
submitted for perusal.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Y. V. Krishna Murti
Asst Commissioner
for Dy Commissioner

From 1914-15.
The Dy Commr
Mysore

To The Secretary
to the Govt of H.H.
Gen & Rev: Depts
Mysore

Dated 20. 1. 1915

Despatched 22

Received

General No. 292
No.

Enclosure No. 3 files & with petition

Submits records with petition
re the resumption and regrant
of Inam S.No. 273 of Hadinaru
village in the Nanjangud Talk.

2 2/16

28

File No. 261

Serial No. 914

Diary No. of letter replied to 1153

No. 2378

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

To

The Inam Supt in Mysore,

Sir,

Date of issue 26 4 915

I am directed to request

~~that~~ you will be so good as to furnish this office with an extract of the detailed inam register pertaining to the grant of inam lands measuring 64-26 a & as assessed at Rs 244-8-0 in Hadinaru Village, Nanjangud Taluk, for the up-keep of Hullahalli Jangam Jathi Devaru Matt

over.

SUBJECT.

at Mysore. We ~~enclose~~ [illegible] copies of Quaid Settlement papers. The ~~enclosure~~ light on the original character of these lands may also be ~~kindly forwarded for us~~.

I have etc.

Sd/-

15/4/15

for Hy-Secretary

22/4/15

RA

15/4/15

We [illegible] to consider whether the other lands were [illegible] the Mulki or the right of Govt's the Govt Revenue. papers that available in original record light upon this q may also be [illegible] up

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—4-3 18

The inam land enquiry
Sashi Matt
at Mysore

File No. 261
Serial No. 8 914

Diary No. of letter replied to 1153.

No. 2537 Enc 3 f. Cs

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

To

The Dy. Commr,
Mysore Distt.

Date of issue 5. 5. 1915

Signed by Aravamudhachar

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 292 Dy 20th January 1915 reporting on the appeal petition of Sirdar Putta Basavaraj urs and others regarding the resumption from the apth and restoration to Sashi Matt at Mysore of inam S. no. 273 of Hadinaru village in the Nanjangud Taluk,

over.

SUBJECT.

I am directed to invite reference to Sub-paras 3 and 5 of Para 8 of the appeal petition D. no. 946 herewith forwarded, and to request that you will be so good as to cause a thorough search being made in the Taluk ~~records~~ records, and to submit for perusal of Govt., the Taluk accounts of 1863-65 and also chitta of 1827, 1828 and other papers if any, which it is said would throw light on the original character of the land in question.

If the entire records are herewith returned for ref. and re-turn. I have etc.

for Dy-Secretary

1.5.15

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—4-3-18

No. 98
Enc. one

Office of the Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 3rd May 1915

From D. K. Daraslal Esq. B.A.
~~D. Shama Rao, Esq.,~~
Superintendent of Inam Settlements
in Mysore, Bangalore.



To M. Narasinga Rao Esq B.A B.L
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts

Sir,

As requested in your letter No 2378 Dt 26th April 1915 (Muz 261-14-7), I have the honor to forward herewith a Copy of the Inam Register of Kadinaru village, Nanjangud Taluk, pertaining to the grant of lands measuring 64 A. 26 G for the upkeep of Kulhalli Jangam Gachi Mutt at Mysore The Inam is one settled entirely, on the basis of accounts and there are no other papers in this Office on the Subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
D K Daraslal
Superintendent of Inam

(41 L-300-2, 9 10)

From

The Superintendent,
Inam Settlement
in Mysore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl & Rev. Depts.

Dated 3rd May 191
Despatched
No. 98
Enc. one

SUBJECT.

Forwards Copy of Hace
-nuru village Nanjange
Taluk as requested

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

M.R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esquire., M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2537 dated 5th May 1915 calling for certain records which may throw light on the original character of Inam Survey No.2/3 of Hedinaru Village, -- Nanjangud Taluk endowed to Cochi Patna at Mysore, I have the honor to submit herewith Memo No.10 dated the 9th instant - from the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud from which it would appear that no record prior to 1865 relating to the subject is forthcoming in the Taluk Records. The old Kulavar Chittas are reported to have been destroyed in 1912 as per Government Order 1079-85/G.C/3-32 dated 20/22-7-1892. These records have been destroyed in the District Records also.

The three files received with the letter under reference are herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

From 1/5/8 191
The Deputy Commr
Mysore

To
The Secretary to
the Govt of Mys
Rev. dept

Dated

Despatched 3.8.15.

Received

General No. 128
No.

Enclosure No. 3 files & 1

L.R.
T.C.

Submits records re resumption of Inam S. No. 270 of Hosur village, Nanjangud Taluk

a V

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal Nos 6 & 6a of 1976.

Appellant.s. Vs Respondent

(1) Sirdar Putta Basavaraj urs of Mysore,

(2) Lingayya and others of Hadinaru in the Nanjangud Taluk.

Gachi matha at Mysore.

Subject.

Appeals against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, directing the resumption of certain inam lands in ~~S. no 273 of~~ Hadinaru Village, Nanjangud Taluk, and ~~its restoration to the Gachi matha at Mysore~~

Tendered to the Gachi Matha at Mysore and their restoration to the Matha

Order -

All the parties represented that they have documents in their possession, not produced at the original inquiry, calculated to

GPB—1,000—8-8 11

throw light on the
of the tenure of the lands
by the matha on the one
side and the present
appellants and their pre-
decessors in interest on the
other. It is desirable that
the parties should be allowed
an opportunity of producing
this additional evidence,
especially as the evidence
already on record is meagre.
The case is therefore remanded
to the Dy. Commissioner
with a view to his directing
the Sub Division Officer
to take such additional
evidence as may be
offered by the parties and
submitting the same to
Government with his
opinion.

Memo. no. 2855 Enc 3. files 2) 13/6/1916.
mm 261-14-13

Copy of the above
is forwarded to the
Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist,

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Returning the enclosed Vernacular records received with Correspondence ending with his letter no. 128 Dy 3rd August 1915

By order

Asst Dy Rev. Secretary

2/6/16